

REMARKS

The Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated April 29, 2005. Independent claims 3 and 8, and dependent claims 4 and 9 are in the application.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 3, 4, 8 and 9. Claims 3 and 8 have been rewritten in independent form as suggested in the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicant submits that all of the Claims 3, 4, 8 and 9 are allowable as stated in the Office Action.

The Office Action entered rejections of 1, 5 to 7 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) on that relied on U.S. Patent 5,640,357 (Kakimi), U.S. Patent 6,848,058 (Sinclair), U.S. Patent 6,065,124 (Lee), U.S. Patent 6,874,095 (Usui), and U.S. Patent 6,317,657 (George). As indicated above, these claims have been canceled, but cancellation is without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter, and without conceding the correctness of the rejections, and rather merely to obtain an earlier allowance. It is Applicant's current intention to file a divisional directed to the subject matter of the canceled claims.

No other matters being raised, Applicant submits that the entire application is fully in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office by telephone at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,


Michael K. O'Neill
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 32,622

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_NAIN 98407v1